

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE nited States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITE	D STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
United	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.usntn.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,795	02/25/2002	Daniel C. Ziegler	A148 1606A	7876
7*	590 01/12/2005		EXAM	INER
	yle Sandridge & Rice, F	A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
P.O. Box 7037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Atlanta, GA 303:	30337-0037		3637	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`			P.M.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/084,795	ZIEGLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phi D A	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	;
Period for Reply	DI VIC SET TO EYPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mi earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t.1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of t iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Meaning the cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u> 1 November 2004</u> .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed-in-accordance-with-the-practice-und	er- <i>Ex-parte</i> -Quayle,_1935.C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-9,16 and 22</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-9,16,22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	•	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. 		n Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ae
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		not received.	
Gee the attached detailed office detailed	, no. or and comment copies		
Attachment(s)	.	0 (070 140)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, _	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08) 5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) L_I Other:		

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 8-9, 16, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kielmeyer (4545166).

Kielmeyer shows a ceiling system having a grid formed from a plurality of parallel extending main runners (10), a plurality of cross runners(70) extending between the main runners, each main runner having a vertical web (18) and a bulb portion (11), a plurality of compression struts (51) attached to the grid, a plurality of panels (50) resting within the grid, a plurality of clips (parts 39, 32, 30, 28, 24, 25 together forming a clip), each clip having a first leg (25), a second leg (39), a midportion (24, 29) disposed between the first and second leg, each first leg is in direct contact with and is secured to the vertical web of the main runner, each second leg is in direct contact with and is secured to the compression runner and each midportion conforms to the bulb portion of a main runner, the bulb portion being interposed between the compression strut and the mid-portion, the panels are downwardly accessible, the first leg (25) is secured to the main runner by a first fastening device (27), the second leg is secured to the strut by a second fastening device (figure 8, fastener).

Per claims 5, 16, Kielmeyer shows all the claimed structures. Kielmeyer's structure thus inherently would be able to meet an uplift classification 90 as claimed.

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kielmeyer (4545166).

Kielmeyer shows all the claimed limitations except for the struts being attached to the runners by the clips at an interval of about 2 feet or at an interval of up to about 12 feet.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kielmeyer to show the struts being attached to the runners by the clips at an interval of about 2 feet or at an interval of up to about 12 feet because it would have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice to attach the struts to the runner at intervals of 2 feet or 12 feet as it is up to the designer to choose the desired fastening force between the struts and the runners for supporting the ceiling.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-9, 16, 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to applicant's argument that Kielmeyer does not show a compression strut as the strut disclosed has a different intended use. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure

Art Unit: 3637

is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The argument is thus moot.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A PA
1/10/05

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamemai